

STATE OF WISCONSIN : CIRCUIT COURT : COUNTY OF DANE  
BRANCH 7

---

STATE OF WISCONSIN,  
Plaintiff,  
Case No. 2018CF001694  
v.  
QUINTEZ R. CEPHUS,  
Defendant.

---

PROCEEDINGS: Jury Selection (Excerpt: The Voir Dire by the Defense)

DATE: July 29, 2019

BEFORE: The Honorable Judge WILLIAM E. HANRAHAN

APPEARANCES: The State of Wisconsin appeared by Assistant District  
Attorneys WILLIAM L. BROWN and JESSICA MILLER;  
Madison, Wisconsin.

Detective TAYA M. DOLSEN of the City of Madison Police  
Department appeared in person.

Defendant QUINTEZ R. CEPHUS appeared in person and by  
Attorney STEPHEN J. MEYER of Meyer Law Office,  
10 East Doty Street, #800, Madison, Wisconsin 53703;  
and Attorney KATHLEEN B. STILLING of Buting, Williams,  
and Stilling SC, 400 North Executive Drive, #205,  
Brookfield, Wisconsin 53005.

Legal assistant KRISTEN MOHR appeared in person.

VALERIE JOHNSON appeared not in person but by  
Attorney JENNIFER L. BINKLEY of Community Justice Inc.,  
214 N. Hamilton Street, Ste. 101, Madison, Wisconsin 53703.

PATRICK A. WEISHAN, RPR  
Official Court Reporter  
Branch 7

1 ATTORNEY STILLING: What's that?

2 THE COURT: It's just-- We'll get going.

3 (Proceedings before the jury resumed.)

4 THE COURT: Okay. We seem to be one person short.

5 Of course we'll just, as they say, chill for a moment or two.

6 (The Court played the *Jeopardy!* theme.)

7 THE COURT: Okay. I'm pretty sure that's not why  
8 the County gives me this computer here. All right. We're back  
9 on the record.

10 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. Thank you, Judge. All  
11 right. Well, I'm afraid I'm going to have to go back and sow  
12 some of the same oats with some of the same people, and I do  
13 apologize to you for that now, but you know, there are some  
14 questions that the judge may ask you, that the State may ask  
15 you, but I might have a different take on it or something else I  
16 would like to find out, so I hope you will bear with me.

17 Mr. Olsson, I would like to go back to you about your  
18 experience with sexual assault. Can you tell me how many years  
19 ago your girlfriend experienced this?

20 JUROR OLSSON: I guess it was six.

21 ATTORNEY STILLING: And how many years was that  
22 before you knew her?

23 JUROR OLSSON: Four.

24 ATTORNEY STILLING: And is this something that she's  
25 talked over with you as a painful and difficult experience?

1 JUROR OLSSON: Yes.

2 ATTORNEY STILLING: Yeah. Go ahead.

3 JUROR OLSSON: Her sister was also recently raped,  
4 which brought it--was the--was why we were talking about it  
5 again.

6 ATTORNEY STILLING: So her sister's experience kind  
7 of brought this back to the head?

8 JUROR OLSSON: Yes.

9 ATTORNEY STILLING: Now, I understand that you would  
10 do everything you possibly could to be fair, you know, but it  
11 sounds to me like you're--you have some feelings about this that  
12 might make it difficult for you to be impartial. Do you agree  
13 with me about that?

14 JUROR OLSSON: I don't think I do.

15 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. Can you help me?

16 JUROR OLSSON: Yeah. The experience that my  
17 girlfriend and her sister had don't say anything about the facts  
18 of this case or whether or not the defendant is innocent or  
19 guilty, and while what's happened happened to someone I care  
20 deeply about, I don't believe that would bias my ability to  
21 interpret the evidence, but you might disagree.

22 ATTORNEY STILLING: You know, I can see right now  
23 that, you know, before everything started, but once the young  
24 women take the stand, do you think that might change the impact  
25 you think your experiences might have on your decisions?

1 JUROR OLSSON: I don't believe so, but I guess I  
2 can't know before it happens.

3 ATTORNEY STILLING: And, you know, I mean, everybody  
4 who takes the job of being a juror does it in good faith, I'm  
5 sure, but you know, the questions I'm going to ask are really  
6 about trying to find out if there's things that you may not even  
7 be completely aware of that could impact your decision, because  
8 we really have to have as impartial a jury as humanly possible  
9 in every case.

10 Ms. Rivers, I guess I have the same questions for you, and  
11 I'm sorry we keep dredging this up, you know. I do apologize.  
12 But I can also tell that you're pretty emotional about your  
13 experience. It's really recent. You were really disappointed  
14 with the result. I worry a little bit that you might feel quite  
15 a bit of sympathy if young women testified about an experience  
16 that reminded you of your own so recently. I mean, do you  
17 understand why I would be concerned about that?

18 JUROR RIVERS: Yeah, I understand.

19 ATTORNEY STILLING: So am I right to be concerned  
20 about that?

21 JUROR RIVERS: I mean, you have every right to be,  
22 but I believe like what they said. Like, I mean, everybody-- I  
23 don't really know how to say it in my own words. Like you can't  
24 automatically-- Just because it happened to me, I'm not going to  
25 automatically look at the victim, oh, he's guilty. Like that's

1 not--

2                   ATTORNEY STILLING: I understand. I guess maybe  
3 what I'm thinking about more is the idea that you may feel a  
4 certain amount of empathy or sympathy that could, even  
5 unintentionally, impact your decision-making; not that you would  
6 necessarily think Quintez is guilty, but that you might be more  
7 empathetic with the young women who are testifying, and that  
8 could affect your impartiality.

9                   JUROR RIVERS: I understand. I see where you're  
10 coming from, but I don't know.

11                   ATTORNEY STILLING: You see where I'm coming from,  
12 but you don't agree with me?

13                   JUROR RIVERS: Like I agree like what you're saying.  
14 You might think that I might have more sympathy or empathy for  
15 those ladies, but I don't see it that way.

16                   ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. Well, that's helpful.  
17 Now, Ms. Filipkowski, you talked about feeling like you might  
18 break down or get emotional if you heard testimony about an  
19 alleged sexual assault. Is there something in your experience  
20 that makes you feel that way, or where do you think that feeling  
21 is coming from?

22                   JUROR FILIPKOWSKI: Well, I am a caregiver, and I  
23 take care--

24                   ATTORNEY STILLING: Is that on?

25                   JUROR FILIPKOWSKI: Yeah. I'm a caregiver, and so I

1 take care of people, and they tell me about their experiences  
2 whether it not be [sic]. So I put myself in their shoes kind  
3 of. So, if they were to testify, there would be a possibility I  
4 may break down and have an emotional breakdown.

5 ATTORNEY STILLING: Do you think that that  
6 emotional--the emotions that are stirred up would impact your  
7 ability to be fair? I mean, sometimes it does for some people.

8 JUROR FILIPKOWSKI: I think it would.

9 ATTORNEY STILLING: Would what?

10 JUROR FILIPKOWSKI: Would impact my ability because  
11 I would imagine myself in their shoes.

12 ATTORNEY STILLING: Do you consider yourself a  
13 really empathetic person?

14 JUROR FILIPKOWSKI: Yeah.

15 ATTORNEY STILLING: And, when people talk to you  
16 about their experiences, you tend to internalize them and sort  
17 of walk in their shoes. Is that--

18 JUROR FILIPKOWSKI: Yes.

19 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. What kind of caregiver  
20 are you?

21 JUROR FILIPKOWSKI: I'm a CNA. I mainly work with  
22 the elderly, but I've done younger children as well.

23 THE COURT: All right. Let me just add in here.  
24 You can be an empathetic person, and I hope everyone here has a  
25 degree of empathy, but what we're asking for is whether, when

1 it's all said and done, whether you can dispassionately look at  
2 the evidence, converse with your fellow jurors, and make a  
3 decision based upon the totality of the evidence, not just one  
4 shot in time when--

5 JUROR FILIPKOWSKI: Oh, I think I could do that.

6 THE COURT: All right. I just want to be clear  
7 about that. All right.

8 ATTORNEY STILLING: Well, you know, sometimes if we  
9 recognize something in ourselves, then when the time comes, we  
10 can, you know, not-- You understand--I guess if you understand  
11 how you feel about this, if you got to that decision-making  
12 place, you would be able to recognize if something like that was  
13 affecting you. Do you think you would and you could kind of say  
14 no?

15 JUROR FILIPKOWSKI: I think I could.

16 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay.

17 JUROR FILIPKOWSKI: I think I could be strong enough  
18 to do that.

19 ATTORNEY STILLING: How confident are you?

20 JUROR FILIPKOWSKI: I don't know until it happens.

21 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. Now, Mr. Henry, you  
22 heard--it seemed as though you watched or followed the coverage  
23 on this a little bit more closely than some other people. Does  
24 that sound fair?

25 JUROR HENRY: I'm no judge of what other people have

1 done.

2 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. Well, did you read a lot  
3 about the case? Was it--

4 JUROR HENRY: No. I heard about it on the radio  
5 mostly driving to work, so little snippets.

6 ATTORNEY STILLING: Now, did you ever hear like any  
7 commentary on it, or was it just so and so appeared in court  
8 today and--

9 JUROR HENRY: Commentary.

10 ATTORNEY STILLING: You heard commentary?

11 JUROR HENRY: Yeah. Opinions.

12 ATTORNEY STILLING: Opinions by?

13 JUROR HENRY: The radio host.

14 ATTORNEY STILLING: So--

15 JUROR HENRY: Mike Lucas on the radio show.

16 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. So he would be talking  
17 about his opinions about what was going on in the case?

18 JUROR HENRY: I don't know about the case. His  
19 opinions on-- It's a little hard to remember because it was a  
20 while ago, but I mean, that's what radio is. They're just  
21 opinions, so.

22 ATTORNEY STILLING: And would you consider those  
23 opinions to have had some negative about Quintez Cephus?

24 JUROR HENRY: Could you repeat that? I can't hear  
25 you very well.

1                   ATTORNEY STILLING: Were the opinions negative  
2 towards Quintez?

3                   JUROR HENRY: I don't think so. I'm not a hundred  
4 percent sure, but I don't think so. They aren't in my mind as  
5 that.

6                   ATTORNEY STILLING: Did you find yourself agreeing  
7 with the opinions, whatever they were?

8                   JUROR HENRY: I do not. I know-- No.

9                   ATTORNEY STILLING: So you listened to them, but you  
10 don't necessarily agree?

11                  JUROR HENRY: No, I don't. I listened to them.  
12 That's all I do. It's to pass the time, and then change the  
13 channel.

14                  ATTORNEY STILLING: So is that your drive time?

15                  JUROR HENRY: Yeah. Like seven minutes.

16                  ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. So you're telling us, I  
17 think, that you don't think--

18                  JUROR HENRY: Your mic is off.

19                  ATTORNEY STILLING: My mic is off?

20                  THE COURT: Uh-huh.

21                  ATTORNEY STILLING: I mean, it's on, but it's not--

22                  THE COURT: Probably dead.

23                  ATTORNEY STILLING: Probably dead.

24                  THE COURT: We have another one, or this is it?

25 Okay. Well, if we could roll the lectern back.

1 THE BAILIFF: Yeah.

2 ATTORNEY STILLING: Does this one work?

3 THE COURT: It's not plugged in.

4 ATTORNEY STILLING: This one works.

5 THE COURT: Excellent.

6 JUROR HENRY: I can speak loudly.

7 ATTORNEY STILLING: I bet you can.

8 JUROR HENRY: Okay. I got another one.

9 ATTORNEY STILLING: Is this on?

10 JUROR HENRY: It was. Just up.

11 ATTORNEY STILLING: Up? Okay. Can you hear me?

12 (Many jury pool members said yes.)

13 ATTORNEY STILLING: I, unfortunately, do have a soft  
14 voice, so I do need mics. Just saying.

15 All right. Now, so it sounds to me that you sound pretty  
16 confident that whatever you heard is not going to have an impact  
17 on how you view the evidence.

18 JUROR HENRY: Absolutely no bearing.

19 ATTORNEY STILLING: Do you consider yourself a--  
20 Does this work?

21 THE BAILIFF: Yeah.

22 ATTORNEY STILLING: Cool. You know, I have been  
23 known to make all kinds of digital and electronic equipment die  
24 just by its contact with me. I once had to start an entire  
25 presentation without any of my PowerPoint because it just died

1 as I was starting.

2 So, okay. Who here considers themselves kind of problem-  
3 solvers, likes puzzles, you know, likes digging in the weeds on  
4 stuff and figuring things out? Wow. That's great. Okay. Who  
5 here considers themselves kind of big picture? They like looking  
6 at sort of the contours of things and sort of feeling their way  
7 to an answer? So you're a little bit of both. Okay. Well,  
8 that's good.

9 Now, was anybody asked or had an experience with sexual  
10 assault but didn't get asked any follow-up questions? We were  
11 thinking there might be one more person who had raised their  
12 hand and wasn't talked to. Am I wrong about that? Nobody else;  
13 right? Okay. All right.

14 I, too, need to and want to talk about race. So I've got a  
15 question. I know people, I have friends, and some of them would  
16 say, you know, you can have an all-white jury and you can have a  
17 black defendant and the verdict won't be impacted at all by an  
18 all-white jury. I have other friends who believe that an  
19 all-white jury cannot be completely impartial. It's going to  
20 impact the verdict in some way. Who would agree with my friends  
21 who say it doesn't make a difference, people can be fair no  
22 matter what? So, okay. I guess the question is who here thinks  
23 that having an all-white jury with a black defendant does not  
24 have any impact on the verdict? Okay. So, tell me, Mr. Lamore,  
25 why do you think that's the case?

1 JUROR LAMORE: I would like to think that it should  
2 be.

3 ATTORNEY STILLING: So this is aspirational.

4 JUROR LAMORE: It shouldn't make a difference. If  
5 we believe in what we believe, all this is true and right, it  
6 shouldn't make a difference.

7 ATTORNEY STILLING: Well, do you think sometimes  
8 stereotypes people have may be buried kind of deep, and they may  
9 not even realize the impact it can have?

10 JUROR LAMORE: I'm sure that's possible. We are  
11 pretty odd creatures.

12 ATTORNEY STILLING: We are pretty odd creatures. I  
13 mean, personally, what would you think we could do to make sure  
14 that stereotypes or other racial opinions don't have an impact  
15 on deliberations?

16 JUROR LAMORE: I can't make a general statement  
17 about everybody. I know how I feel about it, how I've been  
18 raised, and my--the way I interact with people. That's the only  
19 thing I really have control of. So, for me, I have to believe  
20 in my heart that this is the way it's supposed to work and that  
21 I think it shouldn't have an impact on the results.

22 ATTORNEY STILLING: If you saw or heard conversation  
23 during deliberations that suggested somebody was utilizing  
24 stereotypes or utilizing that kind of information in order to,  
25 you know, drive the discussion, what would you do?

1 JUROR LAMORE: I think I would have to look at it.  
2 In my mind what I would think is that hearsay is hearsay and  
3 evidence is evidence. I have to separate it that way in my head  
4 and then make a decision from that process.

5 ATTORNEY STILLING: You know, years ago I was  
6 actually selected to be a juror, and it was an all-white jury,  
7 and it was a black defendant, and we were 10 to 2 for a long  
8 time, and one of the men who was holding out said, well, after  
9 all, he's black. If you heard something like that, would you  
10 call him out?

11 JUROR LAMORE: Absolutely. I grew up with that.

12 ATTORNEY STILLING: You grew up with that?

13 JUROR LAMORE: Those kind of accusations, those kind  
14 of remarks.

15 ATTORNEY STILLING: You grew up with those kinds of  
16 remarks? If you hold it right up to your mouth--

17 JUROR LAMORE: Unfortunately, yes.

18 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. Who else grew up with  
19 those kinds of remarks, heard people saying things like that?  
20 Ms. Horn?

21 JUROR HORN: Yes.

22 ATTORNEY STILLING: When you hear or see indications  
23 of racial bias, how do you respond to that?

24 JUROR HORN: I think back on how often it seems as  
25 though we apply generalities to minorities but not so much if a

1 white person does something wrong. We don't generalize that--

2 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay.

3 JUROR HORN: --to the population.

4 ATTORNEY STILLING: So there we're talking about  
5 stereotypes again?

6 JUROR HORN: Yes.

7 ATTORNEY STILLING: Do you think if you were in a  
8 position where you sensed racial stereotypes were becoming part  
9 of a decision-making process, that you would be able to speak  
10 up?

11 JUROR HORN: I think so.

12 ATTORNEY STILLING: How about you, Mr. Munishi?

13 JUROR MUNISHI: No. Just general, nothing specific  
14 really, just general, you know.

15 ATTORNEY STILLING: Do you think you would be able  
16 to speak up if you thought racial attitudes or bias were driving  
17 the discussion or were part of the deliberations?

18 JUROR MUNISHI: Yes.

19 ATTORNEY STILLING: Can you tell me what your ethnic  
20 background is?

21 JUROR MUNISHI: Albanian.

22 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. Do you ever have people  
23 who think that you're like Muslim or Arab or something like that  
24 and treat you differently?

25 JUROR MUNISHI: It's hard to say because just

1 looking at me, they don't, but I'm sure you get the feeling  
2 where if you tell them what you are or who you are, then you  
3 feel like you might be judged, you know.

4 ATTORNEY STILLING: How about you, Mr. Burrell? Have  
5 you experienced people having racial stereotypes about you or  
6 people that you know?

7 JUROR BURRELL: Yes.

8 ATTORNEY STILLING: Do you think--do you think that  
9 an all-white jury can be fair in a case involving a black man?

10 JUROR BURRELL: Yes and no.

11 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. Now I'm going to ask you  
12 to explain that. What's the yes and what's the no?

13 JUROR BURRELL: Just like the other gentleman, I  
14 would like to think so, but I'm going to be honest with you. I  
15 really don't feel that it can happen nowadays.

16 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. And why nowadays?

17 JUROR BURRELL: Because it's still--African  
18 Americans are still being looked at at the color of they skin  
19 instead of what actually happened and what the evidence and what  
20 the truth is.

21 ATTORNEY STILLING: Do you think people are more  
22 quick to jump to conclusions because of the race issue?

23 JUROR BURRELL: Not everyone, but you still have  
24 those that's out there.

25 ATTORNEY STILLING: So, Mr. Guilbault?

1 JUROR GUILBAULT: Guilbault.

2 ATTORNEY STILLING: Guilbault; is that right? What  
3 do you think? How do we make sure that a jury that are all white  
4 is not going to use racial stereotyping in making their  
5 decision?

6 JUROR GUILBAULT: Well, like you said, I think if  
7 you notice someone's doing that or someone else notices you're  
8 doing that, they would call--they would bring it to your  
9 attention that you're talking about it, and hopefully that would  
10 then stop that behavior.

11 ATTORNEY STILLING: If you were selected as a juror  
12 in this case, would you be diligent, vigilant about making sure  
13 that that doesn't happen?

14 JUROR GUILBAULT: I would.

15 ATTORNEY STILLING: Would you be vigilant about your  
16 own, any biases you might have?

17 JUROR GUILBAULT: I would do my best.

18 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. Do you feel it would be  
19 hard for you to do that, or do you think you can be fair?

20 JUROR GUILBAULT: Not at all, not hard at all.

21 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. You know, Mr. Brown  
22 brought this up too, but you know, let's talk about some of the  
23 stereotypes that might come into play here. How many people  
24 have heard a stereotype that refers to African-American men as  
25 being more sexually aggressive? Okay. Ms. Crispin? Okay.

1 Ms. Leaverton, or not Leaverton. I'm sorry. Are you April  
2 Weir-Hauptman?

3 JUROR WEIR-HAUPTMAN: Yes.

4 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. Ms. Weir-Hauptman, can  
5 you tell me how you've heard that, what context?

6 JUROR MAJOR: We lost our microphone.

7 ATTORNEY STILLING: Oh, you know what? I think I've  
8 got one here.

9 THE COURT: Got a spare?

10 ATTORNEY STILLING: Got a spare. Why don't we get  
11 another one circulating here.

12 JUROR WEIR-HAUPTMAN: Just in general with the Me  
13 Too Movement, I can't think of anything specific, but again,  
14 it's just one of those stereotypes that you--that I've heard  
15 before.

16 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. Do you think that that  
17 kind of stereotype could impact people's decision-making when  
18 they're trying to make a decision about an alleged sexual  
19 assault?

20 JUROR WEIR-HAUPTMAN: I think stereotypes can affect  
21 anyone, and that's why they're damaging and dangerous.

22 ATTORNEY STILLING: Who here agrees with  
23 Ms. Weir-Hauptman that stereotypes like that are dangerous and  
24 damaging? Okay. Who also thinks that those stereotypes might be  
25 true? Okay.

1 JUROR ENGSBERG: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Which  
2 stereotypes?

3 ATTORNEY STILLING: The stereotype about African-  
4 American men being more sexually aggressive.

5 JUROR ENGSBERG: Oh, sorry.

6 ATTORNEY STILLING: Does anybody think that could be  
7 true, that that stereotype has some truth to it? Now,  
8 Ms. Collier, I think you raised your hand. Did you understand  
9 the question?

10 JUROR COLLIER: I did. I did.

11 ATTORNEY STILLING: Yeah. Do you think that  
12 stereotype is accurate?

13 JUROR COLLIER: I don't know.

14 ATTORNEY STILLING: But you think it might be?

15 JUROR COLLIER: It might be.

16 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. Now, believing that or  
17 thinking that that could be the case, do you believe that you  
18 can be fair to Quintez?

19 JUROR COLLIER: I will try to be fair.

20 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. You know what? We all try  
21 to do things, but sometimes we can't, and sometimes we need a  
22 greater degree of confidence when someone tells us. You know,  
23 trying may just not be enough. If that's still in the back of  
24 your head and you're trying to make a decision that's going to  
25 impact many people, do you think you can truly be fair?

1 JUROR COLLIER: I hold opinions that sometimes  
2 people who are celebrities or even sports athletes who are  
3 celebrated sometimes feel entitled, and so they may also feel in  
4 some situations, sexual situations, that they have more rights  
5 or that they--their wants are more important than the wants of  
6 other people around them.

7 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. So you're introducing,  
8 you know, something new, talking about people who have a sense  
9 of entitlement because of who they are or what they do.

10 JUROR COLLIER: Right.

11 ATTORNEY STILLING: Do you think that if someone's  
12 an athlete, that they're more likely to have that sense of  
13 entitlement?

14 JUROR COLLIER: Yes.

15 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. So, okay. So we all know  
16 by now Quintez is a football player. He played for Madison, you  
17 know. He's a college kid from Macon, Georgia. You're going to  
18 find some of these things out as time goes on. And who here  
19 thinks because he's a football player and this is a, you know,  
20 involving campus-type interactions, that he's more likely to  
21 have had a sense of entitlement and not followed the law, in  
22 other words? That's a terrible question. Let me think about how  
23 to say this. Who else thinks that athletes and celebrities have  
24 a sense of entitlement that sometimes makes them cross  
25 boundaries? I mean, and right now we're talking about sexual

1 boundaries, okay, you know, makes them cross boundaries like  
2 makes them think that they're entitled to have women even if the  
3 women aren't interested in them. Okay. So anybody else besides  
4 Ms. Collier and Ms. Horn? Okay. I think you need the  
5 microphone.

6 JUROR ENGSBERG: To be fair, to be fair, there's  
7 facts that exist that would prove that we already know that  
8 there are celebrities or people in places of power that have  
9 admitted that they felt because of their position that they  
10 could violate somebody or something. I mean, that's not--that  
11 wouldn't be an opinion. I mean, I would raise my hand to that  
12 but not because I share an opinion that I think all celebrities  
13 or famous people or athletes think that they're entitled to  
14 that. I would raise my hand to say I know that that's a fact  
15 that some do.

16 ATTORNEY STILLING: But maybe the important  
17 distinction you're making is some.

18 JUROR ENGSBERG: Right, but you--but that's what you  
19 asked.

20 ATTORNEY STILLING: Oh, thanks.

21 JUROR ENGSBERG: You didn't say all. Like, hey,  
22 people, do you all think that all athletes believe that they  
23 have entitlement to violate somebody or do something to break  
24 the law because they're above it; right?

25 ATTORNEY STILLING: Right.

1 JUROR ENGSBERG: Because then any reasonable person  
2 would say no.

3 ATTORNEY STILLING: But you say if you put some in,  
4 then it becomes of course?

5 JUROR ENGSBERG: Yeah, right. So I would raise my  
6 hand and say I know that that's a fact. I don't share an  
7 opinion that-- I guess that's-- Sorry. I just wanted to clear  
8 that up.

9 ATTORNEY STILLING: It's okay.

10 JUROR ENGSBERG: I'm on a tangent.

11 ATTORNEY STILLING: All right. You know what? We're  
12 just here to find stuff out.

13 JUROR ENGSBERG: Right. Sorry.

14 ATTORNEY STILLING: And you have a really legitimate  
15 point, and I bet you raised your hand on the problem-solving,  
16 detail-oriented stuff; right?

17 JUROR ENGSBERG: Right.

18 ATTORNEY STILLING: Because I missed that detail in  
19 my own question. So, now, Ms. Arndt, you know, have you also  
20 read about people who have maybe abused their celebrity status  
21 or something else, some other kind of status, in order to get  
22 women?

23 JUROR ARNDT: Yeah.

24 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. Do you think just from  
25 what you've heard so far that this case has anything in common

1 with those people?

2 JUROR ARNDT: Well, we haven't heard any evidence on  
3 this case, and I haven't heard any details outside of the  
4 courtroom, so I don't know.

5 ATTORNEY STILLING: All right. Okay. So Quintez is  
6 not, you know, Bill Cosby or any of these others. He's a unique  
7 individual, and there are a unique set of circumstances here  
8 that you would have to analyze and the facts, as you said. Do  
9 you feel confident that you can do that without thinking about  
10 what other people have done and sort of believe that he's the  
11 same?

12 JUROR ARNDT: Yeah. I would judge based on what is  
13 presented in the court, not based on the bigger, you know, city,  
14 state, country issues going on.

15 ATTORNEY STILLING: Okay. Thank you. You know,  
16 Mr. Brown asked you a little bit about or talked to you a little  
17 bit about the presumption of innocence and the State's burden of  
18 truth, or I'm sorry, proof. The judge also mentioned it. Could  
19 I see a show of hands of those people who, looking at Quintez  
20 right now, can tell me that you presume him innocent? Is there  
21 anybody who feels they can't presume him innocent for any  
22 reason? Okay.

23 JUROR BURRELL: I don't know the facts. I don't  
24 know anything. So I don't know anything about the case, so I  
25 can't say, yes, he's innocent. I can't say, no, he's guilty,